

General information

Description	Gestion du risque tempête en Nouvelle-Aquitaine
Geographical area	Nouvelle-Aquitaine, France
Group of tree species	Pinus pinaster, Quercus spp., Pseudotsuga menziesii, Populus spp.,
	Castanea sativa
Date	10 September 2018
Authors (affiliation)	Dorian Cablat (Bordeaux Science Agro), Christophe Orazio (EFI), Sarah
	Yoga (EFI), Barry Gardiner (EFI)
Contact e-mail	barry.gardiner@efi.int
Tool type	Best practice guidelines
Tool format	Text
Language	French
Risk management plans to	Plan national de gestion de crise tempête pour la filière forêt-bois
which the tools can be	
added	
Risk management plans link	https://plurifor.efi.int/wp-content/uploads/WP2/plans/Storm-risk-
	national-plan_FR.pdf
This tool is	⊠ a new tool

Topic

<u> </u>				
Risk	Choisissez un élément.			
Risk component	☐ hazard	☐ impact	□ vulnerability	
Risk area	Risk management			
Risk phase	Prevention			
Risk phase (alternative terms)	Preparedness			
Level	Regional			
Sendai priorities	 □ Priority 1: Understanding disaster risk □ Priority 2: Strengthening disaster risk governance to manage disaster risk □ Priority 3: Investing in disaster risk reduction for resilience ☑ Priority 4: Enhancing disaster preparedness for effective response and to "Build Back Better" in recovery, rehabilitation and reconstruction 			
Contribution to Sendai targets	 □ Reduce global disaster mortality □ Reduce the number of affected people ☑ Reduce the direct disaster economic loss ☑ Reduce disaster damage to critical infrastructure ☑ Increase the number of national and local disaster risk reduction strategies □ Enhance international cooperation to developing countries □ Increase availability of and access to multi-hazard early warning systems and disaster risk information and assessment 			

Description and analysis

Summary

This is the first attempt to develop wind risk management guidelines for the management of forests in the Nouvelle-Aquitaine region of France. It builds on local knowledge and guides developed for other countries in Europe (e.g. UK and Belgium)

Place in national/regional policy

Not currently implemented in regional policy but the hope is that it will become a valuable tool for forest planners and managers in the Nouvelle-Aquitaine region

Goals and achievements

This is the first guide to the management of risk that has been produced in France. Although specifically aimed at forestry in Nouvelle-Aquitaine the hope is that the approach could be adopted in other regions of France and in the wider PLURIFOR area.

Stakeholders involved

To date there ha been no stakeholder engagement. The tool will be shortly sent to forest representatives from the regional body responsible for forestry (DRAAF), representatives of forestry owners and managers (CRPF), and representatives responsible for forest health (CAISSE PHYTO FORÊT) after a final edit.

Implementation stage

First stage. It is expected that revisions will be made after comments and response from DRAAF, CRPF and CAISSE PHYTO FORÊT.

State of technical knowledge

This represents the best current understanding of the management of different forest types in the Nouvelle-Aquitaine region of France. The guide will need to be an active document that can continue to be modified in the future as new knowledge emerges.

Regulatory and/or socio-economic contexts

At present little regulatory context but potentially important socio-economic benefits by helping forest managers and owners to make silvicultural decisions to mitigate the risk of wind damage

Impacts of the tool

To date very little impact because the tool has not been widely adopted.

Implementation requirements and durability

Description of the implementation steps

- 1. Outline of guide developed by Christophe Orazio and Barry Gardiner
- 2. Guide written by Dorian Cablat of Bordeaux Science Agro
- 3. Guide revised by Christophe Orazio, Sarah Yoga and Barry Gardiner

Governance

EFI PFF will be responsible for revising the document following review by stakeholders and in response to new understanding of the risk of wind damage to forests in Nouvelle-Aquitaine

Regulatory framework

The tool is advisory only to assist forest managers. There is no regulatory framework at present.

Human resources requirements

Good collaboration between EFI and the main stakeholder groups will allow long-term implementation and uptake beyond the end of the project.

Financial requirements

Very low level of financial requirements because the guide will only require occasional revision.

Technical requirements

Access to internet to download guide in pdf format.

Priorities identified for successful implementation of the tool (political, technical, human, financial...)

- 1. Evaluation by key stakeholders
- 2. Revision of the guide following feedback from stakeholders
- 3. Advertising the availability of the tool to the key forestry actors in Nouvelle-Aquitaine
- 4. Seminars to key organisations in the region
- 5. Lectures to students at universities and technical colleges teaching forest management

Challenges or risk factors (legal, financial, safety...) expected during the implementation and solutions proposed

The main challenge is that forest planners and managers already are extremely busy and may not have time to read an implement the recommendations of the guide.

Additional and non-formal experiences to help the implementation of good practice $_{\text{N}\ \Delta}$

SWOT analysis

Strengths	Weaknesses
Single source summary of knowledge on the	The guide is quite general in format and does not
impact of different management options on the	provide specific technical recommendations. It is
risk of wind damage	a strategic tool rather than an operational tool
Opportunities	Threats
Can provide a framework for discussion and	Knowledge about the impact of management of
implementation of wind risk management into	forest on the risk of wind damage develops very
the management and planning of forestry in	quickly and makes the guide redundant. However,
Nouvelle-Aquitaine	this has not happened in other countries with
	similar management guides

Lessons learnt

Evaluation process, if exists (internal or external)

Requirement to be very careful with wording to keep meaning completely clear and need for greater use of references to support statements

Assessment of results (quantitative and qualitative) and comparison with main goals

This is a first version. It has met the main goals of the task but continued improvement would be beneficial.

Negative aspects identified

None so far but it has not yet been externally evaluated by stakeholders in Nouvelle-Aquitaine

Unexpected consequences (short- / mid- / long-term) and corrective measures implemented None

Access to complete tool

Files	Storm_Guide_technique.v1.fr(26102018).pdf
Web	https://www.dropbox.com/s/uv36qtktlkz4ykc/Storm Guide technique.v1.fr%2826102018%29.pdf?dl=0
links	

